arXiv:1406.4722v2 [math.AT] 28 Apr 2016

Quasitoric manifolds and Small covers over properly colored polytopes: Immersions and Embeddings

Đorđe Baralić
Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts
Belgrade, Serbia
djbaralic@mi.sanu.ac.rs

Vladimir Grujić
Faculty of Mathematcs
University of Belgrade, Serbia
vgrujic@matf.bg.ac.rs

Abstract

We construct small covers and quasitoric manifolds over n-dimensional simple polytopes which allow proper colorings of facets with n colors. We calculate Stiefel-Whitney classes of these manifolds as obstructions to immersions and embeddings into Euclidean spaces. The largest dimension required for embedding is achieved in the case n is a power of two.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57N35, 52B12.

Keywords: immersions, quasitoric manifolds, simple polytopes, colorings, Stiefel-Whitney classes.

1 Introduction

1.1 Colorings of simple polytopes

An n-dimensional convex polytope is *simple* if the number of facets which are meeting at each vertex is equal to n. The *proper coloring* into k colors of a simple polytope P^n is a map

$$h : \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \to \{1, \dots, k\}$$

of its set of facets such that $h(F_i) \neq h(F_j)$ for each two intersecting facets. The *chromatic number* $\chi(P^n)$ of a simple polytope P^n is the least k for which there exists a proper coloring of P^n into k colors. The chromatic numbers of the simplex Δ^n, the cube I^n and the permutahedron Π^n (Figure 1) are

$$\chi(\Delta^n) = n + 1, \quad \chi(I^n) = n, \quad \chi(\Pi^n) = n.$$

Obviously, $\chi(P^n) \geq n$ for any simple polytope P^n. The chromatic number of a polygon is clearly equal to 2 or 3, depending on the parity of the number of its faces. By famous Four Color Theorem chromatic numbers of 3-dimensional simple polytopes are equal to 3 or 4. In general case, for $n \geq 4$ it does not hold $\chi(P^n) \leq n+1$. Moreover,

This work was supported by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological developments of Republic of Serbia (Grants 174020 and 174034) and by Ministry of Science and Technology of Republic of Serbska, Bosnia and Herzegovina (Grant 19/6-020/961-120/14).



Figure 1: The colorings of the cube and the permutahedron

there are simple polytopes such that their chromatic numbers are equal exactly to numbers of their facets. The polars of the cyclic polytopes with m vertices $C^n(m)$ are examples of such type (see [1, Example 0.6]).

We consider the class of n-dimensional simple polytopes with the chromatic number equal to n. Denote this class by $\mathcal{C}$. The class $\mathcal{C}$ is closed with respect to products (see [2, Construction 1.12]) and connected sums (see [2, Construction 1.13]). Also from any given simple polytope P^n by truncation over all its faces we obtain a simple polytope Q^n which belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}$. The complete description of the class $\mathcal{C}$ is obtained in [3]. A simple n-polytope P^n admits a proper coloring in n colors if and only if every its 2-face has an even number of edges (see [3, Theorem 16]).

1.2 Small covers and quasitoric manifolds

Quasitoric manifolds and they real analogues called small covers are introduced by Davis and Januszkiewicz in [4]. Their geometric and algebraic topological properties are closely related to combinatorics of simple polytopes. The following definitions and notions are extensively elaborated in [2]. Denote by

$$G_d = \begin{cases} S^0, & d=1, \\ S^1, & d=2, \end{cases} \quad R_d = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & d=1, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & d=2, \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{K}_d = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & d=1, \\ \mathbb{C}, & d=2, \end{cases}$$

where $S^0 = \{-1, +1\}$ and $S^1 = \{z \mid |z| = 1\}$ are multiplicative subgroups of real and complex numbers, $\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers and $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ is the ring of integers modulo 2.

The group G_d^n acts standardly on $\mathbb{K}_d^n$ by $(t_1, \dots, t_n)\cdot(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (t_1x_1, \dots, t_nx_n)$. The action of G_d^n on a smooth dn-dimensional manifold M^{dn} is locally standard if for any point of M^{dn} there is a G_d^n-invariant neighborhood which is weakly equivariantly diffeomorphic to some open G_d^n-invariant subset of $\mathbb{K}_d^n$ with the standard action of G_d^n. Recall that two G_d^n-manifolds are weakly equivariantly diffeomorphic if there is an automorphism $\omega : G_d^n \to G_d^n$ and a diffeomorphism $f : M_1^{dn} \to M_2^{dn}$ such that $f(g \cdot x) = \omega(g) \cdot f(x)$ for any $g \in G_d^n$ and $x \in M_1^{dn}$. A smooth dn-dimensional G_d^n-manifold M^{dn} with a locally standard action of the group G_d^n such that the orbit

space M^{dn}/G_d^n is diffeomorphic, as a manifold with corners, to a simple n-dimensional polytope P^n is called *small cover* over P^n if $d = 1$, correspondingly *quasitoric manifolds* over P^n if $d = 2$. Let $\pi : M^{dn} \to P^n$ be the projection map and $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be the set of facets of the polytope P^n. The inverse images $M_j^{d(n-1)} = \pi^{-1}(F_j)$ are G_d^n-invariant submanifolds of codimension d called *characteristic submanifolds*. To each characteristic submanifold $M_j^{d(n-1)}$ corresponds the isotropy subgroup $G(F_j) = G_{\lambda_j}$ of the rank one, where $G_{\lambda_j} = \{(1, \dots, 1), ((-1)^{\lambda_{1j}}, \dots, (-1)^{\lambda_{nj}})\}$ for some $\lambda_j = (\lambda_{1j}, \dots, \lambda_{nj}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus \{0\}$ if $d = 1$ and $G_{\lambda_j} = \{(e^{2\pi i \lambda_{1j} t}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \lambda_{nj} t}) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for some primitive vector $\lambda_j = (\lambda_{1j}, \dots, \lambda_{nj}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined up to the sign, if $d = 2$. In this way, the action of the group G_d^n on M^{dn} defines the *characteristic map*

$$l_d : \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \to R_d^n,$$

which to a facet F_j of the polytope P^n assigns a primitive vector $\lambda_j = (\lambda_{1j}, \dots, \lambda_{nj}) \in R_d^n$. Denote by $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ the matrix formed by these vectors. Then $\det \Lambda_{(V)} = \pm 1$ for any vertex $V \in P^n$, where $\Lambda_{(V)}$ is the submatrix of the matrix Λ formed by vectors corresponding to facets which are intersecting in that vertex. A pair (P^n, Λ) satisfying this condition on submatrices is called a *characteristic pair*. The manifold M^{dn} is reconstructible from the characteristic pair (P^n, Λ) up to weak equivariant diffeomorphism in the following way (see [4] and [2, Construction 6.18]). Let $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ be the minimal face containing a point $q \in P^n$. To the point q we associate the subgroup $G(q) = G_{\lambda_{i_1}} \times \dots \times G_{\lambda_{i_k}}$. Define $M(P^n, \Lambda) = G_d^n \times P^n / \sim$, where $(t_1, p) \sim (t_2, q)$ if and only if $p = q$ and $t_1 t_2^{-1} \in G(q)$.

Though not all simple polytopes allow characteristic maps (see [4, Example 1.15]), to considered polytopes $P^n \in \mathcal{C}$ in a simple way can be assigned a characteristic matrix Λ if each color $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is identified with the vector e_i of the standard basis in R_d^n.

The cohomology ring of a small cover and a quasitoric manifold $M^{dn}, d = 1, 2$ is described in the following way. Let $v_j = D[M_j^{d(n-1)}], j = 1, \dots, m$ be cohomology classes which are Poincare duals to fundamental classes of characteristic manifolds. The characteristic matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ defines following linear forms

$$\theta_i := \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{ij} v_j, i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\lambda_j = (\lambda_{1j}, \dots, \lambda_{nj})^t \in R_d^n, j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the ideal in $R_d[v_1, \dots, v_m]$ generated by elements $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ and $\mathcal{I}$ be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the polytope P^n, which is generated by monomials $v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k}$ whenever $F_{i_1} \cap \dots F_{i_k} = \emptyset$ in $P^n, i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Then for the cohomology ring the following isomorphism holds (see [4])

$$H^*(M^{dn}, R_d) \simeq R_d[v_1, \dots, v_m]/(\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}). \tag{1}$$

The same formula (1) holds with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients if $d = 2$. The total Stiefel-Whitney class is determined by the following Davis-Januszkiewicz's formula

$$w(M^{dn}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + v_i) \in H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \tag{2}$$

where in the case $d = 2$ all classes v_i are regarded as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-restrictions of corresponding integral classes.

1.3 Immersions and Embeddings

Immersions and embeddings of manifolds are a classical topic in algebraic topology and differential topology. We consider immersions and embeddings in the smooth category. For a manifold M^n define the numbers $imm(M^n)$ and $em(M^n)$ as the smallest dimensions of Euclidean spaces in which this manifold is immersed and embedded, correspondingly. In accordance with Whitney's theorem for each smooth manifold M^n is satisfied $imm(M^n) \leq 2n-1$ and $em(M^n) \leq 2n$. On the other hand, the dual Stiefel-Whitney classes $\overline{w}_i$ serve as obstructions to immersions and embeddings of manifolds in Euclidean spaces. Recall that dual Stiefel-Whitney classes $\overline{w}_i(M^n)$ are characteristic classes of the stable normal bundle of a manifold M^n.

Theorem 1.1 (see [5]). *For a smooth manifold M^n let $k := \max\{i \mid \overline{w}_i(M^n) \neq 0\}$. Then $\mathrm{imm}(M^n) \geq n+k$ and $\mathrm{em}(M^n) \geq n+k+1$.*

The Stiefel-Whitney classes are also obstructions to so called totally skew embeddings introduced by Ghomi and Tabachnikov in [6]. A manifold M^n is totally skew embedded in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^N$ if any two tangent lines in different points of M^n are skew lines in $\mathbb{R}^N$. It is proved in the paper [6] that the number $N(M^n)$ defined as the smallest dimension of Euclidean spaces for which there is a totally skew embedding of M^n, satisfies

$$2n+2 \leq N(M^n) \leq 4n+1.$$

The better lower bound is obtained in [7].

Theorem 1.2 (see [7], Proposition 1, Corollary 4). *If*

$$k = \max\{i \mid \overline{w}_i(M^n) \neq 0\}$$

then $N(M^n) \geq 2n+2k+1$.

The immersions and embeddings of quasitoric manifolds over cubes are studied in [8].

In this paper we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let n be a power of two and P^n be a simple convex n-dimensional polytope which allows a proper coloring in n colors.*

(1) There is a small cover M^n over the polytope P^n which satisfies following identities $imm(M^n) = 2n-1$ and $em(M^n) = 2n$.

(2) There is a quasitoric manifold M^{2n} over the polytope P^n which satisfies following inequalities $imm(M^{2n}) \geq 4n-2$ and $em(M^{2n}) \geq 4n-1$. Moreover, for $n \geq 3$ in both relation the equality holds.

We give an explicit construction of manifolds M^n and M^{2n} from Theorem 1.3. By using combinatorial properties of the simple polytope P^n and Davis-Januszkiewicz formula (1) and (2), we describe the cohomology rings $H^*(M^{dn}, \mathbb{Z}_2), d = 1, 2$ and calculate Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_k(M^{dn}), d = 1, 2$. When n is a power of two, we prove that classes $\overline{w}_{d(n-1)}(M^{dn}), d = 1, 2$ are nontrivial, the claim that implies Theorem 1.3. Also from this claim and Theorem 1.2 for totally skew embeddings of constructed manifolds immediately follows

Corollary 1.1. *If n is a power of two then*

$$N(M^n) \geq 4n-1, \quad N(M^{2n}) \geq 8n-3.$$

The obtained small covers M^n are a new class of manifolds for which the numbers $N(M^n)$ are equal to $4n-1, 4n$, or $4n+1$. So far, only known examples with this property were real projective spaces (see [7]).

Cohen [9] in 1985 resolved positively the famous *Immersion Conjecture*, by showing that each compact smooth n-manifold for $n > 1$ can be immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-\alpha(n)}$, where $\alpha(n)$ is the number of 1's in the binary expansion of n. The products of real projective spaces are examples of manifolds that achieve the upper bounds. We construct new examples of this type in the class of small covers.

Theorem 1.4. *For every positive integer n there is a small cover M^n and a quasitoric manifold M^{2n} over some simple n-dimensional polytope which allows a proper coloring in n colors such that*

$$\begin{aligned} imm(M^n) = 2n-\alpha(n), \quad imm(M^{2n}) \geq 4n-2\alpha(n) \\ em(M^n) = 2n-\alpha(n)+1, \quad em(M^{2n}) \geq 4n-2\alpha(n)+1, \\ N(M^n) \geq 4n-2\alpha(n)+1, \quad N(M^n) \geq 8n-4\alpha(n)+1. \end{aligned}$$

We conjecture that the statement of Theorem 1.4 is satisfied for any simple n-dimensional polytope which is properly colored by n colors.

Conjecture 1. *Let P^n be a simple convex n-dimensional polytope which allows a proper coloring in n colors. Then there exist a small cover M^n and a quasitoric manifold M^{2n} over the polytope P^n which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} imm(M^n) = 2n-\alpha(n), \quad em(M^n) = 2n-\alpha(n)+1, \\ imm(M^{2n}) \geq 4n-2\alpha(n), \quad em(M^{2n}) \geq 4n-2\alpha(n)+1. \end{aligned}$$

2 Manifolds M^{dn}

2.1 Construction

Let P^n be a simple polytope such that $\chi(P^n) = n$ and $h : \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ be its proper coloring. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_j$ the set $h^{-1}(j)$. Every vertex $V \in P^n$ is the intersection of n differently colored facets. Take an arbitrary vertex $V = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$, where the facet H_i is colored by color i. Assign to each facet H_i the vector $\lambda_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-i+1})^t$ and vectors $\lambda_F = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-i})^t$ to remaining facets $F \in \mathcal{F}_i \backslash \{H_i\}$. The corresponding matrix Λ clearly induces the characteristic map, since $\det \Lambda_{(v)} = 1$ for every vertex $V \in P^n$. Define $M^{dn} = M(P^n, \Lambda)$ as the manifold constructed from the characteristic pair (P^n, Λ).

2.2 Cohomology ring

Let $u_1, \dots, u_n$ be Poincaré duals to characteristic submanifolds over the facets $H_1, \dots, H_n$ respectively. For every facet F of P^n distinct from $H_1, \dots, H_n$, let v_F denotes the Poincaré dual to the characteristic submanifold over F.

The cohomology ring of the manifold M^{dn} is determined by the Stanley-Reisner ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of P^n and the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ which is generated by linear forms

$$\theta_i := \sum_{j=1}^{i} u_j + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{H_i\}} v_F, i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3}$$

Recall that

$$H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_F | F \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}]/(\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}).$$

From the coloring of P^n we easily deduce

Proposition 2.1. *For facets F, $G \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{H_i\}$, $F \neq G$ corresponding classes satisfy the following relation in the cohomology ring $H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$*

$$v_F v_G = u_i v_F = u_i v_G = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1 and (3) together imply

Proposition 2.2. *The following equalities hold in $H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$*

$$u_1^2 = 0,\ u_2^2 = u_1 u_2, \ldots, u_n^2 = u_1 u_n + \cdots + u_{n-1} u_n. \tag{4}$$

Let F be an arbitrary facet of P^n and v_F the corresponding class over F, even if F is one of $H_1, \ldots, H_n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let k be a positive integer and h a proper coloring map of the polytope P^n. Then the class v_F^k is either trivial or equal to the degree k homogenous polynomial $Q_k^F(u_1, \ldots, u_{h(F)-1}, v_F)$ whose monomials are square free.*

Proof. Let $h(F) = i$. We prove the claim by induction on k. For $k = 1$ it is trivial. Assume that $v_F^k = Q_k^F(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, v_F)$. By multiplying with v_F^k the relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} u_j + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{H_i\}} v_F = 0$$

and by using Proposition 2.1 we get

$$v_F^{k+1} = (u_1 + \cdots + u_{i-1}) Q_k^F(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, v_F).$$

If $v_F^{k+1} = 0$, the claim follows directly. In the opposite case it is obvious that $(u_1 + \cdots + u_{i-1}) Q_k^F(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, v_F)$ is the degree $k+1$ homogenous polynomial in variables $u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, v_F$. However, from relations (4) follows $(u_1 + \cdots + u_{i-1}) Q_k^F(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, v_F) = Q_{k+1}^F(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, v_F)$, where all monomials of the polynomial Q_{k+1}^F are square free. □

From lemma 2.1 immediately follows

Corollary 2.1. *Each class $v_{F_{i_1}}^{r_1} \cdots v_{F_{i_k}}^{r_k}$ is either trivial or equal to the degree $r_1 + \cdots + r_k$ homogenous polynomial in variables $u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_{F_{i_1}}, \ldots, v_{F_{i_k}}$ whose monomials are square free.*

Proposition 2.3. *The class $u_1 \ldots u_n$ is the fundamental cohomology class in the ring $H^{dn}(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_V$ the set of facets containing a vertex $V \in P^n$. Let $V^* = \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_V} v_F$. We show that $V^* = V'^*$ for each two vertices $V, V' \in P^n$. For this it is sufficient to suppose that vertices are connected by the edge VV'. In this case we have $\mathcal{F}_{V'} = \mathcal{F}_V \setminus \{G\} \cup \{G'\}$ for unique facets G and G' which are colored by the same color. If $h(G) = h(G') = i$, we multiply by $\prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_V\cap\mathcal{F}_{V'}} v_F$ the relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} u_j + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} v_F = 0.$$

From Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$(u_1 + \ldots + u_{i-1}) \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_V\cap\mathcal{F}_{V'}} v_F + V^* + V'^* = 0.$$

In the case $i = 1$ we get the required equality $V^* = V'^*$. If $i > 1$, for each $1 \le j < i$, we have by Propositions 2.1 and 2.2

$$u_j \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_V\cap\mathcal{F}_{V'}} v_F = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } H_j \notin \mathcal{F}_V \cap \mathcal{F}_{V'} \\ (u_1 + \cdots u_{j-1}) \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_V\cap\mathcal{F}_{V'}} v_F, & \text{if } H_j \in \mathcal{F}_V \cap \mathcal{F}_{V'} \end{cases}.$$

Then by induction follows $u_j \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_V\cap\mathcal{F}_{V'}} v_F = 0, j = 1, \ldots, i-1$ which again gives $V^* = V'^*$.

Suppose now that $u_1 \cdots u_n = 0$. From the so far proven part follows that $V^* = 0$ for each vertex $V \in P^n$. Moreover in this case all dn-dimensional classes vanish since by Corollary 2.1 square free monomials of degree n linearly generate $H^{dn}(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. But it contradicts the known fact that $H^{dn}(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. □

2.3 Stiefel-Whitney classes

Proposition 2.1 implies that the total Stiefel-Whitney class could be expressed as

$$w(M^{dn}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} (1 + v_F) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_i} v_F).$$

By applying (3) we obtain

$$w(M^{dn}) = (1 + u_1)(1 + u_1 + u_2) \cdots (1 + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}).$$

In order to prove the main theorem 1.3, we are going to use another set of generators $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ which are defined by

$$t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} u_j, i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Consequently we have

$$w(M^{dn}) = (1 + t_1) \cdots (1 + t_{n-1}). \tag{5}$$

By Proposition 2.2 the classes t_1^2, $t_2^2 + t_1t_2, \ldots, t_n^2 + t_{n-1}t_n$ vanish. Let $\mathcal{T}_n$ be the ideal generated by these classes. By Proposition 2.3 it is easily seen that the class $t_1t_2 \cdots t_n$ also represents the fundamental class.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to prove Theorem 1.3 it is sufficient to show that the top dual Stiefel-Whitney class $\overline{w}_{d(n-1)}(M^{dn})$ is nontrivial.

3.1 Dual Stiefel-Whitney classes

Stiefel-Whitney classes and dual Stiefel-Whitney classes are related by

$$w(M^{dn}) \cdot \overline{w}(M^{dn}) = 1.$$

From the relation (5) we obtain

Lemma 3.1. *The total Stiefel–Whitney class $\overline{w}(M^{dn})$ is expressed by*

$$\overline{w}(M^{dn}) = (1+t_1)(1+t_2+t_2^2)\cdots(1+t_{n-1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}^{n-1}).$$

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that $t_k^{k+1} = 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. In fact $t_k^{k+1} = (u_1 + \cdots + u_k)^{k+1}$ is the sum of monomials of the form $\sum u_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots u_{i_j}^{r_j}$, where $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_j \le k$ and $r_1 + \cdots r_k = k+1$. In its turn, by Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 this is a sum of homogeneous polnomials of the degree $k+1$ of the form $\sum Q_{k+1}(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$. Since monomials of each polynomial in the sum is square free, we have $Q_{k+1}(u_1, \ldots, u_k) = 0$. □

We want to determine the highest nontrivial dual $\overline{w}_k(M^{dn})$. For small n, we could calculate $\overline{w}(M^{dn})$ directly.

Example 3.1. *(1)* $\overline{w}(M^{d\cdot 2}) = 1 + t_1$,

(2) $\overline{w}(M^{d\cdot 3}) = 1 + (t_1 + t_2)$,

(3) $\overline{w}(M^{d\cdot 4}) = 1 + (t_1 + t_2 + t_3) + t_1t_3 + t_1t_2t_3$,

(4) $\overline{w}(M^{d\cdot 5}) = 1 + (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4) + (t_1t_3 + t_1t_4 + t_2t_4) + (t_1t_2t_3 + t_2t_3t_4)$.

3.2 The class $\overline{w}_{d(n-1)}(M^{dn})$

Consider arbitrary two manifolds M^{dn} and $M^{d(n+1)}$ constructed as in subsection 2.1 over simple polytopes P_1^n and P_2^{n+1}, properly colored in n and $n+1$ colors, respectively.

Lemma 3.2. *The ring $\mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n]/\mathcal{T}_n$ is a subring of the cohomology ring $H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which is generated by elements $t_1, \ldots, t_n$.*

Proof. It is necessary to prove that $\mathcal{T}_n$ is the ideal of all relations among elements $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ in $H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The rings $\mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n]/\mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2[u_1, \ldots, u_n]/\mathcal{U}_n$ are isomorphic, where $\mathcal{U}_n$ is the ideal generated by elements u_1^2 and $u_i^2 + (u_1 + \ldots + u_{i-1})u_i, i = 2, \ldots, n$ from Proposition 2.2. It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{U}_n$ is the ideal of all relations among $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ in $H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

By Corollary 2.1 any homogeneous polynomial in $H^*(M^{dn}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is expressed as a sum of square free monomials. So let we have a relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{I_j} = 0,$$

where $I_j = \{a_{j1} < \ldots < a_{jk}\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}, j = 1, \ldots, m$ and $u_I = \prod_{i \in I} u_i$. It is easy to convince that $u_1 \cdots u_{i-1} u_i^2 = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let us order sets lexicographically $I_1 < \ldots < I_m$. Let $I_m = \{a_1 < \ldots < a_k\}$ and $d_i = a_i - a_{i-1}, i = 1, \ldots, k$ where $a_0 = 0$. Define following elements

$$U_i = \begin{cases} u_{a_{i-1}+1} \cdots u_{a_i - 1}, & d_i > 1 \\ 1, & d_i = 1 \end{cases},$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, k$. By multiplying the relation with $U_1 \cdots U_k$, we get $u_1 \cdots u_{a_k} = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $u_1 \cdots u_n$ is the fundamental class. □

By abbreviating all terms in the identity in Lemma 3.1 we obtain that each class $\overline{w}_{dk}(M^{dn})$ is expressed in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n]/\mathcal{T}_n$ by square free homogeneous polynomials $\overline{W}_k(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of the degree k. Note that the inclusion

$$i : \mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n] \to \mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1}]$$

induces a natural monomorphism

$$i^* : \mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n]/\mathcal{T}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1}]/\mathcal{T}_{n+1},$$

which by Lemma 3.2 allows us to consider the total Stiefel-Whitney class $\overline{w}(M^{dn})$ as an element of the ring $H^*(M^{d(n+1)}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Thw total Stiefel-Whitney classes $\overline{w}(M^{dn})$ and $\overline{w}(M^{d(n+1)})$ satisfy the following relation in $H^*(M^{d(n+1)}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$

$$\overline{w}(M^{d(n+1)}) = \overline{w}(M^{dn})(1 + t_n + \cdots + t_n^n).$$

Explicitly

$$\overline{w}_{dk}(M^{d(n+1)}) = \overline{w}_{dk}(M^{dn}) + t_n \overline{w}_{d(k-1)}(M^{dn}) + \cdots + t_n^k, k = 0, \ldots, n. \tag{6}$$

Recall that $\overline{w}_{dn}(M^{dn}) = 0$ (see [10]), which implies

$$\overline{w}_{dn}(M^{d(n+1)}) = t_n \overline{w}_{d(n-1)}(M^{dn}) + \cdots + t_n^n = t_n \overline{w}_{d(n-1)}(M^{d(n+1)}). \tag{7}$$

We use the same trick as in [8]. Define numbers $\sigma_n^k, 0 \leq k \leq n-1$ as follows

$$\sigma_n^k = \overline{W}_{dk}(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n}) \pmod 2.$$

By (6) and (7), we have $\sigma_{n+1}^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_n^i$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $\sigma_{n+1}^n = \sigma_{n+1}^{n-1}$. By definition of σ_n^k, if $\sigma_n^k = 1$, then $\overline{w}_{dk}$ is the sum of an odd number of linearly independent square free monomials, which implies $\overline{w}_{dk}(M^{dn}) \neq 0$.

An easy mathematical induction shows that

$$\sigma_n^k \equiv \tbinom{n+k}{k} \pmod 2.$$

Particularly, if $n = 2^r$, we have

$$\sigma_n^{n-1} \equiv \tbinom{2^r + (2^r - 1)}{2^r - 1} \equiv \tbinom{2^{r+1} - 1}{2^r - 1} \equiv 1 \pmod 2.$$

Consequently,

$$\overline{w}_{d(n-1)}(M^{dn}) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{n-1} \neq 0.$$

Therefore by Theorem 1.1 we obtain the required bounds

$$imm(M^{dn}) \geq d(2n-1), em(M^{dn}) \geq d(2n-1)+1.$$

For the small cover M^n, Whitney's theorem implies $imm(M^n) = 2n-1$ and $em(M^n) = 2n$. The quasitoric manifold M^{2n} is orientable, so it can be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{4n-1}$. From Lemma 3.1 follows $\overline{w}_2(M^{2n}) = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{n-1}$, which implies that the characteristic class $\overline{w}_2(M^{dn}) \cdot \overline{w}_{2n-2}(M^{2n})$ vanishes. If $n \geq 3$, the result of Massey [11, Theorem V] yields

$$imm(M^{2n}) = 4n-2,$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $n = 2^{r_1} + 2^{r_2} + \cdots + 2^{r_t}$, $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_t \geq 0$ be the binary representation of n and let $m_i = 2^{r_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and $m_0 = 0$. Let P^n be a simple n-polytope such that

$$P^n = P_1^{2^{r_1}} \times \cdots \times P_t^{2^{r_t}},$$

where each $P_i^{2^{r_i}}$ is 2^{r_i}-colored simple 2^{r_i}-polytope. It is obvious that polytope P^n is n-colored.

In subsection 2.1 we constructed manifolds $M^{d2^{r_i}}$ over polytopes $P_i^{2^{r_i}}$. It follows from [2, Proposition 4.7] that $M^{dn} = M^{d2^{r_1}} \times \cdots \times M^{d2^{r_t}}$ is a G_d^n-manifold over the polytope $P^n = P_1^{2^{r_1}} \times \cdots \times P_t^{2^{r_t}}$. The total Stiefel–Whitney class of the manifold M^{dn} can be easily determined using the following formula (see [5, pp. 27, 54])

$$w(M^{dn}) = w(M^{d2^{r_1}}) \cdots w(M^{d2^{r_t}}) \in H^*(M^{dn}) \cong H^*(M^{d2^{r_1}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^*(M^{d2^{r_t}}).$$

The corresponding dual total Stiefel-Whitney class is expressed as

$$\overline{w}(M^{dn}) = \overline{w}(M^{d2^{r_1}}) \cdots \overline{w}(M^{d2^{r_t}}). \tag{8}$$

Let $\operatorname{rank} wM) := \max\{k | w_k(M) \neq 0\}$. Thus, from formula (8) we have

$$\operatorname{rank} \overline{w}(M^{dn}) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \operatorname{rank} \overline{w}(M^{d2^{r_i}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} d(2^{r_i}-1) = nd - \alpha(n)d.$$

In this way, Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Aknowledgements

The authors express their special thanks to T. E. Panov and A. A. Gaifullin for attention to this work, as well as to reviewers for helpful comments.

References

[1] G M. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Math. 152, 1998.

[2] V. Buchstaber and T. Panov. *Torus Actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, AMS University Lecture Series, volume 24, (2002).

[3] M. Joswig. Projectivities in simplicial complexes and colorings of simple polytopes. *Math. Z.* (2002) **240**:243–259.

[4] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz. Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions. *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451.

[5] J.W. Milnor, J.D. Stasheff. *Characteristic Classes*. Princeton Univ. Press 1974.

[6] M. Ghomi, S. Tabachnikov. Totally skew embeddings of manifolds. *Math. Z.* (2008) **258**:499–512.

[7] Dj. Baralić, B. Prvulović, G. Stojanović, S. Vrećica and R. Živaljević. Topological Obstructions to Totally Skew Embeddings. *Transactions of American Mathematical Society.* AMS, (2012), vol. **364** no. **4**, 2213-2226.

[8] Dj. Baralić. Immersions and Embeddings of Quasitoric Manifolds over Cube. *Publications de l'Institut Mathematique* (2014) (N.S.) **95** (109), 63-71.

[9] R. L. Cohen. The immersion conjecture for differentiable manifolds. *Ann. Math.* **2** (1985), 237–328.

[10] W. S. Massey. On the Stiefel–Whitney classes of a manifold. *Am. J. Math.* **82** (1960), 92–102.

[11] W. S. Massey. Normal vector fields on manifolds. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 33–40.